LOUISIANA STATE BOARD OF PRIVATE SECURITY EXAMINERS

Held on Tuesday, January 25, 2018

SPECIAL BOARD MEETING

Louisiana State Board of Private Security Examiners

15703 Old Hammond Highway

Baton Rouge, Louisiana

REPORTED BY: KELLY S. PERRIN, C.C.R.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

COURT REPORTERS OF LOUISIANA, LLC

9614 BROOKLINE AVENUE, SUITE A
BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70809

PHONE: (225) 201-9650 \* FAX: (225) 201-9651

E-MAIL: depos@courtreportersla.com

_		Page 2
1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	CAPTION	1
4	APPEARANCES	4
5	ROLL CALL	5,6
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BRUMFIELD OF	:
7	NICHOLAS FETTY	21
8	DALTON MILLER	30
9	COLT MILLER	46
10	CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CROUCH OF:	
11	NICHOLAS FETTY	26
12	DALTON MILLER	40
13	COLT MILLER	64
14	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BRUMFIELD	OF:
15	NICHOLAS FETTY	29
16	COLT MILLER	66
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CROUCH OF:	
18	FABIAN P. BLACHE, III	74
19	CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. BRUMFIELD OF:	
20	FABIAN P. BLACHE, III	76
21	EXHIBITS:	
22	#A (MOTION FOR EN BANC RECUSAL OF MEMB)	ERS
23	OF THE LOUISIANA STATE BOARD OF PRIVATE SECURITY EXAMINERS)	20
24	#B (PHOTOCOPY)	40
25		

PH: 225-201-9650

www.courtreportersla.com

1	1		1 80 0
	1	EXHIBITS CONTINUED:	
	2	#C IN GLOBO (PHOTOCOPIES)	61
	3	#D (11/22/17 LETTER)	81
	4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	95
	5		
	6		
	7		
	8		
	9		
	10		
	11		
	12		
	13		
	14		
	15		
	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		

1	APPEARANCES:
2	MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION,
3	CHAIRWOMAN MARIAN H. PIERRE
4	VICE CHAIRWOMAN MARIA LANDRY
5	RITCHIE RIVERS
6	DURELL PELLEGRIN
7	MARK WILLIAMS
8	EDWARD ROBINSON, SR.
9	WILBERT SANDERS, JR.
10	
11	HEARING OFFICER ERIN ST. PIERRE
12	
13	BRIDGETTE HULL, EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT
14	FABIAN P. BLACHE, III, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
15	RONALD CROUCH, ATTORNEY
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	REPORTED BY: KELLY S. PERRIN, CCR
23	
24	
25	

	1 agc 3
1	SPECIAL BOARD MEETING
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
4	The meeting of the Louisiana Board of
5	Private Security Examiner's Special Meeting is
6	in order. May we have a roll call?
7	MS. HULL:
8	Misty Finchum?
9	MR. BLACHE:
10	Not present.
11	MS. HULL:
12	Durell Pellegrin?
13	MR. PELLEGRIN:
14	Here.
15	MS. HULL:
16	Mark Williams?
17	MR. WILLIAMS:
18	Here.
19	MS. HULL:
20	Edward Robinson?
21	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
22	Not here.
23	MS. HULL:
24	Marian Pierre?
25	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:

	1 age 0
1	Here.
2	MS. HULL:
3	
	Maria Landry?
4	MS. LANDRY:
5	Here.
6	MS. HULL:
7	Ritchie Rivers?
8	MR. RIVERS:
9	Here.
10	MS. HULL:
11	Wilbert Sanders?
12	MR. SANDERS:
13	Here.
14	MS. HULL:
15	Hector Echegoyen?
16	MR. BLACHE:
17	Not present.
18	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
19	Mr. Fabian
20	MR. BLACHE:
21	Yes?
22	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
23	Blache? We have the Pledge of
24	Allegiance.
25	MR. BLACHE:
1	

1	÷ ,
1	Yes.
2	
	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
3	Would you lead us?
4	MR. BLACHE:
5	Yes. Everyone, please stand for Pledge.
6	(PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE)
7	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
8	Thank you. We're going to waive the
9	reading of the minutes of the last meeting. I
10	don't think there was any other way, Mr.
11	Blache?
12	MR. BLACHE:
13	They are not. I don't have the
14	electronic copy.
15	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
16	Okay. Well, we'll waive that.
17	MS. LANDRY:
18	I second.
19	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
20	Thank you. It's been moved and seconded
21	to waive the minutes of the last the
22	minutes of the last meeting.
23	MR. BLACHE:
24	We'll do that in March at the normal
25	meeting.

1	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
2	This is an Adjudication Hearing. During
3	this hearing, we're going to set some rules
4	this morning about time for speakers. Each
5	speaker will have three minutes to speak on
6	the subject matter. Is there any questions
7	about that?
8	Will that give the attorneys enough time?
9	Sir, will that give your clients enough time?
10	MR. CROUCH:
11	Your Honor, are you talking about for
12	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
13	For the testimony.
14	MR. CROUCH:
15	I'm sorry. Madam Chair, are you talking
16	about for the testimony?
17	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
18	Yes, I am.
19	MR. CROUCH:
20	I gotcha. Okay.
21	MR. BRUMFIELD:
22	I'm sorry. Your question was?
23	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
24	Will that give your clients enough time?
25	MR. BRUMFIELD:

1	How much time is that?
2	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
3	Three minutes.
4	MR. BRUMFIELD:
5	No, ma'am.
6	MR. CROUCH:
7	No, ma'am.
8	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
9	Okay. So what are we looking at? Will
10	ten minutes do it?
11	MR. BRUMFIELD:
12	No, ma'am. I've got three witnesses that
13	I intend to call, probably 20 to 30 minutes
14	per witness.
15	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
16	I think that's a little overkill, 30
17	minutes for each person. Is there any way we
18	could get it done in 20, compromise to 20?
19	MR. BRUMFIELD:
20	I will try. I'll make an effort.
21	CHAIRPERSON PIERRE:
22	Okay. Thank you very much. I appreciate
23	that. Let's do that; okay?
24	MR. BRUMFIELD:
25	Okay.

1 MR. CROUCH:

PH: 225-201-9650

Madam Chairman, let me explain. This is an odd situation in that a motion has been filed to recuse the Board that's a narrow issue before the Board this morning. In past Boards, the Chairman was an attorney and was able to rule on evidentiary matters. In light of the fact that there are no attorneys on the Board, I've asked Erin St. Pierre from our office to come and sit as in the nature of a referee to merely move any time there's an objection as to evidence or whatever, she can rule on that for the record.

The other -- only other thing I would ask is if the -- if any Members of the Board intend to give any testimony, factual testimony that would be included in the record, I would ask that you be sworn before you give that testimony, because it is -- it is testimony that will go up to the District Court. In a recusal, where presuming that you're asking for a judge to be recused, there are certain standards that we will get into, but an individual has the right, when the judge refuses to recuse himself, to then

1	appeal that to another judge or take it up to
2	the Appellate Courts. And that's what we're
3	doing today, building a record.
4	At that point, I'll turn the matter over
5	to Mr. Brumfield, who has the burden of proof.
6	MR. BRUMFIELD:
7	Let me make sure we're on the same page.
8	I'm assuming that the matter of the revocation
9	will not be addressed today?
10	MR. CROUCH:
11	That is correct.
12	MR. BRUMFIELD:
13	Only the recusal?
14	CHAIRPERSON PIERRE:
15	That's correct.
16	MR. BRUMFIELD:
17	Okay. At this time, I'd like to invoke
18	the Rule of Sequestration.
19	Have you explained sequestration to the
20	Board?
21	MR. CROUCH:
22	I have not, but I'm sure they're familiar
23	with it.
24	In a hearing, the lawyers can ask that
25	the witnesses be recused. The agency has the

1	right to keep one person present, which would
2	be the Executive Director for the hearing.
3	All other witnesses would have to be excused.
4	But I would ask that they be sworn before they
5	go out and instructed as to not to communicate
6	with one another about the hearing.
7	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
8	I can I can do that if you'd like.
9	Where are the witnesses?
10	MR. BRUMFIELD:
11	It's my intent to call Mr. Fetty,
12	Mr. Dalton Miller, and Mr. Colt Miller.
13	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
14	Are they here, sir?
15	MR. BRUMFIELD:
16	They are.
17	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
18	Okay.
19	MR. CROUCH:
20	I would ask that Fabian be sworn as well.
21	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
22	Okay. If all of you could stand up,
23	please, we'll go ahead and just do a group
24	swearing in.
25	(WITNESSES COMPLY WITH REQUEST)

1	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
2	Do you swear that the testimony you shall
3	give shall be the truth, the whole truth, and
4	nothing but the truth, so help you God?
5	(YES BY ALL)
6	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
7	And I ask that due to the request for
8	sequestration that when you are outside with
9	each other, you do not discuss any matters of
10	the case.
11	(YES BY ALL)
12	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
13	Thank you.
14	MR. CROUCH:
15	And can't you
16	MR. BLACHE:
17	Yes.
18	MS. HULL:
19	We can sort them out.
20	MR. BLACHE:
21	Huh?
22	MS. HULL:
23	We can sort them out.
24	MR. BLACHE:
25	Well, they can go in the conference room.

1	That's fine.
2	MR. CROUCH:
3	All right.
4	MR. BRUMFIELD:
5	Ms. Pierre, are we ready to proceed?
6	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
7	Yes, sir, we are.
8	MR. BRUMFIELD:
9	Okay. Thank you. The first matter on my
10	agenda is I had made a request of the Board
11	through an Interrogatory let me back up.
12	I'd like Nick Fetty in here. He's going to be
13	the representative.
14	Board Members, my name is John Brumfield.
15	I represent Nicholas Fetty and Delta Tactical.
16	Through discovery propounded to the Board, I
17	had requested a copy of the minutes of the
18	Executive Session held on August the 1st of
19	last year.
20	The basis for my request was, it's my
21	opinion that Executive Session was not
22	properly called; that there was no stated
23	reason on the minutes to indicate why or what
24	was going to be discussed in Executive
25	Session; and I don't think that the Executive

1	Session, the subject within the Executive
2	Session fell within the requirements of
3	Louisiana Revised Statute 42:17. There are
4	some limited basis for an Executive Session
5	that are laid out in 42:17.
6	And it's my understanding that was
7	it's my belief that none of those requisites
8	for the Executive Session existed. The basis
9	of my belief that there may be some relevance
10	of what went on in the Executive Session to
11	these proceedings was the actions that were
12	taken by the Board immediately following the
13	Executive Session going back into Open Session
14	when the Board removed Mr. Rivers as the
15	Chair.
16	And then, Ms. Pierre made some comments
17	about the Board's Executive Secretary that
18	indicate to me that there may be some bias by
19	the Board's by the Board against Mr. Fetty.
20	So that's the basis of my request for the
21	minutes of the Executive Session. And I had a
22	discussion with Mr. Crouch who had indicated
23	to me that he was not going to allow me to
24	review those minutes.
25	MR. CROUCH:

1	Could for the record, Madam President,
2	the reason that I am opposed to releasing
3	those is they are presumed to be confidential
4	until the propriety of the Executive Session,
5	which is a matter of fact is shown.
6	Additionally, what went on in that Executive
7	Session, a significant part of it was my
8	interaction with the Board, which would be
9	attorney/client protected. I can't waive that
10	privilege. Only the client can waive that
11	attorney/client privilege.
12	And so consequently, as an Interrogatory
13	as a Response to Discovery, I am not going to
14	approve releasing it. Whether or not the
15	Executive Session was conducted properly is a
16	matter of fact that ought to be reviewed by a
17	Judiciary, which could be and should be part
18	of this record.
19	CHAIRPERSON PIERRE:
20	Thank you.
21	MR. BRUMFIELD:
22	So does the Board want to make a decision
23	on whether I'm going to have an opportunity to
24	review those minutes now?
25	CHAIRPERSON PIERRE:

1	In our opinion, we agree with our
2	attorney, that it is a client/attorney
3	privilege, and we will not waive it. Okay?
4	MR. BRUMFIELD:
5	Okay. There are some remedies available
6	to the Board that they can redact the
7	conversation between the Board and its counsel
8	to exclude any material that falls within the
9	attorney/client privilege.
10	CHAIRPERSON PIERRE:
11	We understand that.
12	MR. BRUMFIELD:
13	Okay.
14	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
15	Thank you.
16	MR. BRUMFIELD:
17	Notwithstanding the ability to redact,
18	it's the Board's position that I'm not going
19	to be able to review those minutes.
20	CHAIRPERSON PIERRE:
21	I understand that.
22	MR. BLACHE:
23	Okay. Note my objection for the record.
24	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
25	Your objection is noted for the record.

1	MR. BRUMFIELD:
2	The matter before the Board today
3	MR. CROUCH:
4	Just before you go on, Madam
5	(CELL PHONE INTERRUPTION)
6	CHAIRPERSON PIERRE:
7	I'm sorry. Excuse me.
8	MR. CROUCH:
9	Madam President, one more comment,
10	please? I'm not sure it requires a motion,
11	second, and a vote, but if there's any member
12	of the Board that would be opposed to that, I
13	think they ought to be given an opportunity.
14	CHAIRPERSON PIERRE:
15	Exactly. Is there any opposition to us
16	not releasing that information?
17	MS. LANDRY:
18	No opposition.
19	MR. WILLIAMS:
20	None.
21	CHAIRPERSON PIERRE:
22	Okay.
23	MR. BRUMFIELD:
24	The matter
25	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
1	

1	Would you like a roll call vote on that,
2	sir?
3	MR. BRUMFIELD:
4	Not necessary.
5	CHAIRPERSON PIERRE:
6	Okay, sir.
7	MR. BRUMFIELD:
8	The matter before the Board today is my
9	Motion for an En Banc Recusal of the Members
10	of the Louisiana State Board of Private
11	Security Examiners, which I had filed on
12	December the 27th, 2017. It's my position
13	that there is bias. There's a basis for
14	recusal. That basis is a bias by Mr. Blache
15	against my client and possibly a bias of the
16	Board and its member against Mr. Fetty based
17	on what I believe were comments made to the
18	Board that were ex parte in nature and may
19	have created this bias.
20	That will be brought out by the testimony
21	of Mr. Fetty, Mr. Dalton Miller, and Mr. Colt
22	Miller. It's the Board's obligation to give
23	Mr. Fetty a fair and impartial hearing and to
24	avoid improprieties or even the appearance of
25	impropriety. And I think the evidence

1	presented today will demonstrate that there is
2	a basis for the recusal for impropriety or at
3	least an appearance of impropriety.
4	At this time, I'd like to offer, file,
5	and introduce into evidence the Motion for En
6	Banc Recusal of the Members of the Board of
7	Louisiana State Board of Private Security
8	Examiners filed on December the 27th and
9	exhibits attached thereto.
10	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
11	Are you marking that as Exhibit #A?
12	MR. BRUMFIELD:
13	That's going to be Exhibit A, yes.
14	MR. CROUCH:
15	Your Honor, I have no objection to the
16	admissibility of those documents, except to
17	the extent that the Affidavit and the exhibits
18	that are attached to it may contain
19	objectionable information. But as a matter of
20	the documents being admitted into the record,
21	I have no problem with that.
22	CHAIRPERSON PIERRE:
23	Thank you.
24	MR. BRUMFIELD:
25	At this time, I'd like to call Nicholas

- 1 Fetty.
- 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICHOLAS FETTY
- 3 BY MR. BRUMFIELD:
- 4 O Would you state your name and
- 5 professional address for the record?
- A Nicholas Fetty, and it's 14875 Linda
- <sup>7</sup> Lane, Denham Springs, Louisiana 70726.
- Q Are you the principal of Delta Tactical,
- 9 LLC; is that correct?
- $^{10}$  A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q Okay. You received notice of a
- 12 revocation hearing by mail on -- that was mailed on
- December -- on November the 22nd; is that correct?
- 14 A Correct.
- 0 Okay. And based on that notice of
- revocation and a conflict that I had, I requested
- that this matter be continued and rescheduled; is
- 18 that right?
- 19 A Yes, sir.
- 20 And on October the 31st, you requested a
- 21 hearing of the Board on that revocation issue; is
- 22 that correct?

- 23 A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. So your request for hearing is
- dated October the 31st of 2017; correct?

- 1 A Correct.
- Q Okay. Did you have privy to a telephone
- 3 conversation between Dalton Miller and Colt Miller?
- <sup>4</sup> A I was informed of it.
- 5 Q Did you listen to it?
- 6 A I did.
- Okay. Let me play the -- no, not yet.
- Did you recognize the voices on that
- 9 recording?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 0 Who were the parties to that
- 12 conversation?
- 13 A Dalton Miller and Colt Miller.
- 14 O Do you have an idea of when that
- telephone conversation took place?
- 16 A I don't know the exact date offhand.
- Q Was that conversation prior to your
- notice of the hearing of December the 14th of last
- 19 year?
- 20 A I believe so.
- Q Okay. Did you attend a meeting at LEWCO
- 22 Company?

- 23 A Yes, sir.
- Q All right. Who is LEWCO?
- 25 A One of our clients.

1	Q Okay. Where are they located?
2	A On Renoir Avenue, the back of Mall City.
3	Q Do you recall when that meeting took
4	place?
5	-
	A The 20th, January, I believe. The 20th
6	of something. I know the 20th.
7	Q Could it have been November the 20th of
8	2017?
9	A That sounds about right.
10	Q Was that after that recorded
11	conversation?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	MR. CROUCH:
14	Object. Your Honor, the witness has said
15	he doesn't know when the conversation took
16	place. There's no way he can know whether
17	this took place after that conversation or
18	not.
19	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
20	What is the nature of your objection; is
21	it
22	MR. CROUCH:
23	It's irrelevant.
24	MR. BRUMFIELD:
25	The date of the meeting is irrelevant?

1	MR. CROUCH:
2	The date his testimony as to
3	whether when and where it took place as it
4	relates to the telephone conversation.
5	MR. BRUMFIELD:
6	Well, I think it I disagree. I think
7	there's some relevance.
8	Now whether there's a foundation for his
9	testimony, I understand that.
10	MR. CROUCH:
11	Got it.
12	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
13	I'll rule on the objection. I'll allow
14	it.
15	BY MR. BRUMFIELD:
16	Q Okay. So it's your understanding that
17	the telephone conversation that you heard and that
18	you recognized the voices of took place prior to
19	your meeting at LEWCO?
20	A Yes, sir.
21	Q Who was present at that meeting?
22	A It was myself, Max Fetty, Dalton Miller,
23	Colt Miller, Fabian, and Lewis Dill, along with
24	Kevin Marshall.
25	Q Okay. And what was the what was the

١		
	1	purpose of the meeting? What was discussed?
	2	A Two employees supposedly didn't get paid
	3	and made a complaint. And from what was told to
	4	me, Mr. Fabian took it upon himself to call LEWCO
	5	and kind of set this thing in motion, the meeting.
	6	Q Okay. Is it your opinion that you are
	7	not you will not be able to have a fair and
	8	impartial hearing before this Board on your issue
	9	of revocation?
	10	MR. CROUCH:
	11	Object, Your Honor. He's not an expert.
	12	If he has an opinion as a lay witness,
	13	that's fine. But if he's testifying as to
	14	some sort of expert, he needs to lay the
	15	groundwork for it.
	16	MR. BRUMFIELD:
	17	The nature of the question was with
	18	regard to the requirements that Mr. Fetty
	19	executing an Affidavit showing a bias for the
	20	Board so the matter can be heard by the Board,
	21	the revocation I mean the recusal.
	22	MR. CROUCH:
	23	He was asked for his opinion, Your Honor.
	24	That's not facts.
	25	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:

1 I'm going to go ahead and sustain that 2 objection. If you can reword it possibly? 3 BY MR. BRUMFIELD: 4 Okay. Did you issue -- or did you 5 execute an Affidavit in support of your Motion to 6 Recuse the Board? 7 Α Yes, sir. 8 Let me show you a document that's marked 0 9 as Exhibit A to Exhibit A of the Board. 10 Α Uh-huh. 11 Is that your Affidavit? 0 12 Yes, sir. Α 13 That's a two-page Affidavit. Does your 0 14 signature appear on the bottom of Page 2? 15 Α Yes, sir. 16 And in that Affidavit, you stated that 0 17 you were not able to get a fair and impartial 18 hearing by the Board; is that correct? 19 Α That's correct. 20 And that's why we're here today; correct? 0 21 Α Yes, sir. 22 MR. BRUMFIELD: 23 That's all the question I have, Your 24 Honor. 25 CROSS EXAMINATION OF NICHOLAS FETTY

- 1 BY MR. CROUCH:
- Q Mr. Fetty, I'm Ron Crouch. I'm the
- 3 attorney representing the Board of Private Security
- 4 Examiners.
- 5 The address that you gave in your initial
- 6 answer, what is that address again?
- 7 A 14875 Linda Lane.
- 8 Q Is that the address that you provided to
- <sup>9</sup> the Board of Private Security Examiners when you
- applied for a license?
- A No, sir.
- Q Did you notify the Board when you changed
- 13 that address?
- $^{14}$  A No, sir.
- Q Okay. So the Board would have no way of
- knowing where you lived after the initial
- application since you didn't notify them where to
- 18 locate you; correct?
- 19 A Correct.

- O All right. Your initial answer to this
- 21 meeting that you're talking about that took place,
- I believe you said January. And then your attorney
- corrected you and said, could it have been another
- 24 date. What date did that occur?
- 25 A I'm most certain that it is November.

1 Because on the recording, it said 10/11/17. 2 Got it. The date of the recording of the 0 3 telephone conversation, when did that occur? 4 Between the two parties in question? 5 0 Correct. 6 I'm not sure of that date. Α 7 brought to me after he had recorded it. 8 0 I believe your answer to your attorney's 9 question was though you were pretty certain it 10 occurred before your request for a hearing. 11 would you know that if you don't know when it 12 occurred? 13 Because I don't remember the date. Α 14 MR. BRUMFIELD: 15 Objection. I think he misstated 16 Mr. Fetty's testimony. 17 I think Mr. Fetty's testimony was that it 18 took place before the notice of the hearing 19 was issued. 20 MR. CROUCH: 21 And my question is, how would he know 22 when and if that took place before the notice 23 of hearing if he doesn't know when the 24 conversation took place? 25 Α I know for a fact it happened before the

- 1 hearing. I just can cannot give you an accurate
- date. And I don't want to make one up.
- 3 BY MR. CROUCH:
- 4 O How do you know as a matter of fact that
- 5 it occurred before?
- A Because I -- we've -- we've gone over
- <sup>7</sup> this and over this and over this. I know for a
- 8 fact it happened before. I just don't recall the
- <sup>9</sup> date exactly.
- 10 Q I understood your answer the first time,
- 11 Mr. Fetty. But you're saying, I know as a matter
- of fact. And the fact that I want to know is, how
- do you know when it occurred when your answer is, I
- don't know when it occurred?
- 15 A I'm going to give you the same answer. I
- know it happened before. I just don't remember the
- 17 exact date.

- 18 MR. CROUCH:
- Got it. I have no further questions.
- 20 REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF NICHOLAS FETTY
- 21 BY MR. BRUMFIELD:
- Q Mr. Crouch asked you how you knew. Was
- there anything discussed in that meeting that would
- lead you to believe that that meeting occurred
- before the notice was issued?

1 In that conversation, Colt Miller stated Α 2 the exact date of this meeting before we even 3 received notice of it. 4 MR. BRUMFIELD: Okay. Okay. Nothing further. 6 MR. CROUCH: 7 I have nothing further. 8 MR. BRUMFIELD: I'd call Dalton Miller to the stand. 10 HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE: 11 Sir, you can step down. He can remain. 12 MR. BRUMFIELD: 13 Yes. 14 HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE: 15 He's your --16 MR. BRUMFIELD: 17 Yes, he's my representative. 18 HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE: 19 Okay. 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DALTON MILLER 21 BY MR. BRUMFIELD: 22 State your full name and address for the 23 record, please. 24 Dalton Miller, 14875 Linda Lane, Denham

Springs, Louisiana 70726.

25

1	Q Mr. Miller, do you know Nicholas Fetty?
2	A Yes, I do.
3	Q How do you know Nicholas Fetty?
4	A He is my employer.
5	Q Okay. So you are an employee of Delta
6	Tactical; is that correct?
7	A Yes, sir.
8	Q Okay. Were you a party to a conversation
9	with a Colt Miller?
10	A Yes, sir.
11	Q Let me play an audiotape for you and for
12	the Board, and I'll ask you if this is the
13	conversation you had with Colt Miller?
14	MR. CROUCH:
15	Wait. Wait, John.
16	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
17	Hold on one second. If you can pause it.
18	MR. CROUCH:
19	Yeah, I want to object to the playing of
20	the recording.
21	I have reviewed the transcript of it and
22	it is full of double hearsay. It's one person
23	saying to another person what another person
24	said. There's a certain amount of leeway in
25	Administrative Hearings with regard to

1	hearsay, but hearsay cannot be dispositive of
2	the matter at issue. Okay.
3	So I wanted to get that on the record
4	that I object on the basis of hearsay of what
5	you're about to hear.
6	MR. BRUMFIELD:
7	And my response is that the hearsay is
8	statements made by a Member of this Board;
9	therefore, it is not hearsay. It's an
10	exception to the Hearsay Rule that statements
11	of a party in interest is not hearsay.
12	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
13	Mr. Crouch?
14	MR. CROUCH:
15	Wait. Wait. I'm not clear that is
16	this the Affidavit that is part of your
17	pleadings that's a transcript of the
18	MR. BRUMFIELD:
19	Of that conversation, yes.
20	MR. CROUCH:
21	What Member of the Board is part of that
22	conversation?
23	MR. BRUMFIELD:
24	No, it's it's a
25	MR. CROUCH:

1	What you said was a Member of the Board.
2	MR. BRUMFIELD:
3	It's a statement made by a statement of a
4	Member of the Board.
5	MR. CROUCH:
6	I understand. And that's triple I
7	mean that's double hearsay. You can't you
8	can't testify of one person can't testify
9	as to what another person said to another
10	person. That's hearsay.
11	MR. BRUMFIELD:
12	Right, but there's an exception to the
13	hearsay if that statement is made by a party
14	to the proceedings.
15	MR. CROUCH:
16	But he is not a party to the proceedings.
17	He's the Executive Director. He is this is
18	the Board. This is the decision-making Body
19	right up here.
20	MR. BRUMFIELD:
21	But he is a
22	MR. CROUCH:
23	I know.
24	MR. BRUMFIELD:
25	an employee of

1	MR. CROUCH:
2	John, we have to argue this to the Board
3	and to the referee. I've stated my objection.
4	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
5	Correct. Okay. I my opinion is that
6	it does contain double hearsay. I don't I
7	don't agree with the argument here.
8	But, Mr. Crouch, are you arguing is
9	your objection that it not be played?
10	MR. CROUCH:
11	I think he's entitled to proffer it.
12	MR. BRUMFIELD:
13	I'm going to make a proffer.
14	But I'll let Erin, I'll let you
15	continue.
16	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
17	Yeah. I'm going to go ahead and sustain
18	the objection.
19	You can proffer it.
20	MR. BRUMFIELD:
21	Okay.
22	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
23	So you can continue.
24	MR. BRUMFIELD:
25	Does the Board want to leave the Chambers

1	for the proffer?
2	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
3	For the proffer, you're going to have to
4	leave. Yes, you will.
5	MR. CROUCH:
б	Yeah, probably the best.
7	CHAIRPERSON PIERRE:
8	That's fine.
9	MR. CROUCH:
10	Could you guys take a break while we play
11	this tape for the record?
12	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
13	Yeah. Thank you.
14	MR. CROUCH:
15	And, wait. Wait. This they
16	have the Affidavit. It was part of your
17	pleadings.
18	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
19	We have it.
20	MR. CROUCH:
21	They have the transcript.
22	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
23	So who okay.
24	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
25	And we've already read it.

_		<u> </u>
	1	MR. CROUCH:
	2	And they've already read it. Let's not
	3	you're free to proffer it, but they don't
	4	have to leave. They've already read what you
	5	filed with the record, so
	6	MR. BRUMFIELD:
	7	Okay.
	8	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
	9	I wasn't aware of what they had already
	10	seen and what they had already what their
	11	knowledge is. So with that in mind, let's go
	12	ahead and have them back in here.
	13	MR. BRUMFIELD:
	14	The basis of playing it is I want to lay
	15	the foundation with Mr. Miller that those were
	16	his voices that's his voice and Colt
	17	Miller's voice.
	18	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
	19	I understand. You're wanting to
	20	authenticate the
	21	MR. BRUMFIELD:
	22	Yes, I want to lay the foundation.
	23	MR. CROUCH:
	24	I have no objection to his proffer,
	25	obviously.

1	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
2	Okay. Go grab them back in.
3	MR. BLACHE:
4	They're they're coming.
5	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
6	Okay.
7	(WHEREUPON, AUDIO RECORDING WAS PLAYED AND PAUSED)
8	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
9	And, Sir, can you hear this?
10	A Yes, ma'am.
11	MR. BRUMFIELD:
12	Are we ready, Erin?
13	MR. CROUCH:
14	No, there are a few Board Members that
15	are still out.
16	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
17	They're on their way back in.
18	I think we're ready for you to proceed.
19	(WHEREUPON, AUDIO RECORDING WAS PLAYED)
20	BY MR. BRUMFIELD:
21	Q Mr. Miller, when was that recording made?
22	A November 17th of last year.
23	Q You recognize the voices on that
24	recording?
25	A Yes, sir.

1	Q Who do those who are those voices?
2	A It is myself and Colt.
3	Q And that would be Colt Miller?
4	A Yes, sir.
5	Q Did Mr. Miller ever tell you anything
6	else about the conversation that Mr. Blache had
7	with the Board regarding Nick Fetty?
8	A No, sir.
9	MR. BRUMFIELD:
10	I've got photographs, several photographs
11	that I'd like the Board to review.
12	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
13	Are you introducing these photographs?
14	MR. BRUMFIELD:
15	I'm going to lay a foundation, and then
16	I'm going to introduce it. Yes.
17	BY MR. BRUMFIELD:
18	Q Mr. Miller, let me show you a photograph
19	and ask if you recognize that photograph?
20	A Yes, sir.
21	Q What does that photograph represent?
22	A It is a photograph of Colt Miller trying
23	to get me to come and talk to him here at the Board
24	about Nick.
25	Q When did you receive that photograph?

1	A December 3rd at about 12:50 in the
2	morning.
3	Q Okay. Read to me, if you would, what the
4	caption is on that photograph?
5	A I'm giving you a chance to not go down
6	with Nick Fetty. If you don't want to, you will
7	meet me at the Board Monday. If not, all charges
8	against Nick will include you in the warrants.
9	Q Do you know what Mr what Colt Miller
10	meant by that?
11	A No, sir.
12	MR. BRUMFIELD:
13	Okay. In conjunction with the witness's
14	testimony, I'd like to offer, file, and
15	introduce into evidence the photograph
16	identified by Mr. Miller and mark that as
17	Fetty are we letters or numbers?
18	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
19	I think we're letters.
20	You have any objection?
21	MR. CROUCH:
22	Absolutely, but I'm going to save it for
23	my cross examination.
24	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
25	Okay.

- 1 MR. BRUMFIELD:
- Mark that as Delta Tactical #B.
- 3 BY MR. BRUMFIELD:
- $^{4}$  O Were you in attendance at a Board -- at a
- 5 meeting at LEWCO Company?
- 6 A Yes, sir.
- 7 Q Do you recall whether that meeting took
- 8 place?
- 9 A November 20th.
- 10 Q Was that meeting after the recording that
- we've just heard?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- O Okay. Who else was at that meeting; do
- 14 you recall?
- 15 A It was myself, Nick Fetty, Max Fetty,
- 16 Kevin Marshall, Colt Miller, and Mr. Fabian Blache,
- <sup>17</sup> and Lewis Dill.
- Q Okay. And what was discussed at that
- 19 meeting?
- 20 A A check that was -- that we were supposed
- to be picking up for a contract that we held with
- Lewis.

- MR. BRUMFIELD:
- That's all the questions I have.
- 25 CROSS EXAMINATION OF DALTON MILLER

- 1 BY MR. CROUCH:
- Q Mr. Miller, I want to -- I want to talk
- 3 about this photograph. Tell me again what this is
- <sup>4</sup> a photograph of.
- 5 A It is a picture of Colt at what I can
- only assume is a club in the middle of the night
- <sup>7</sup> trying to get me to come up here and talk with him
- 8 at the Board.
- 9 Q So how did you come into possession of
- 10 this?
- 11 A He personally sent it to me on Snapchat.
- 12 Q How do you know with absolute certainty
- 13 it came from him?
- 14 A I mean, unless somebody has his phone,
- that would make no sense.
- 16 Q I got that. But how do you of your own
- personal knowledge know that it came from Colt?
- A Well, because we were friends at the
- 19 time.

- Q That's not my question. How do you
- 21 personally know that this photograph came to you
- from Colt? Isn't it possible that somebody else
- 23 could have had his phone and sent it?
- $^{24}$  A Yes.
- Q Okay. This statement here, this is

1 purported to be a statement made by Colt to you; 2 correct? 3 Α Correct. 4 Okay. If Colt were to deny he made this statement, what would you say to that? 6 I mean I would probably feel like he's 7 lying. 8 MR. CROUCH: 9 Now, I want to object to the 10 admissibility of it as hearsay. And the 11 authenticity of it, to me, is in serious 12 question. 13 HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE: 14 T --15 MR. CROUCH: 16 And this is a proffer, by the way. 17 HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE: 18 I realize that -- that -- I'm going to 19 sustain the objection, only because I believe 20 it is -- is the person who sent this photo 21 here? 22 MR. BRUMFIELD: 23 Yeah, he's going to testify next. I'm 24 going to lay the foundation. 25 HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:

1	He could properly authenticate it then,
2	and this objection will be a moot point.
3	MR. CROUCH:
4	Then, I'll withdraw the objection.
5	MR. BRUMFIELD:
6	But the purpose of my offering it now is
7	to get Mr. Miller to identify that this was
8	the photograph that was sent to him that he
9	received
10	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
11	And for the purposes
12	MR. BRUMFIELD:
13	via Snapchat.
14	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
15	And for the purposes of him stating that
16	point, I think that's fine. But he can't
17	authenticate that Nick sent it.
18	MR. BRUMFIELD:
19	No. The only thing he can authenticate
20	is he received it.
21	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
22	Correct.
23	MR. BRUMFIELD:
24	Okay.
25	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:

	<u> </u>
1	Are we any further
2	MR. CROUCH:
3	No. I think that I I want to be
4	clear. Are we still in the proffer?
5	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
6	My understanding is that we're no longer
7	in the proffer because the proffer only
8	included the recording.
9	MR. CROUCH:
10	Only as to the recording.
11	MR. BRUMFIELD:
12	We already did the recording.
13	MR. CROUCH:
14	Okay. Right.
15	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
16	So, now, we're
17	MR. CROUCH:
18	We're now back on the record.
19	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
20	On the record, correct.
21	MR. CROUCH:
22	Right.
23	MR. BRUMFIELD:
24	Okay. That's all I have for Mr. Miller.
25	MR. CROUCH:

1	Oh, wait. I've
2	MR. BRUMFIELD:
. 3	Oh, I'm sorry.
4	MR. CROUCH:
5	I've got a couple of more questions.
6	
7	MR. BRUMFIELD:
	Okay.
8	BY MR. CROUCH:
9	Q Mr. Miller, your the address that you
10	stated, what is that address again, please?
11	A 14875 Linda Lane
12	Q What
13	A Denham Springs, Louisiana.
14	Q What is that location?
15	A It's Nick's house.
16	Q You live at that house?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q I gotcha. All right. How are you
19	presently employed?
20	A I'm not.
21	MR. CROUCH:
22	I have no further questions.
23	MR. BRUMFIELD:
24	Nothing in followup.
25	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
Ī	

1	Okay. You had requested the
2	sequestration. Do you want him to remain or
3	do you want the sequestration to remain in
4	effect?
5	MR. BRUMFIELD:
6	I don't intend on calling him back. He
7	can he can stay in here if he'd like.
8	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
9	Okay, sir. You can stay in here.
10	THE WITNESS:
11	You want me to leave this here, the
12	photo?
13	MR. BRUMFIELD:
14	Yes. Leave that there, if you don't
15	mind.
16	And at this time, I'd call Colt Miller.
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF COLT MILLER
18	BY MR. BRUMFIELD:
19	Q Would you state your name and address for
20	the record?
21	A Colt B. Miller, 39102 Whitfield Road,
22	Gonzales, Louisiana.
23	Q Mr. Miller, I don't want to be
24	disrespectful to you when I cross examine you or
25	when I examine you, but we've got two Millers.

- 1 Δ Understood. 2 So I want to keep the record clear and Q 3 I'm going to refer to you as Colt --4 Α That's fine. 5 -- rather than Mr. Miller. 0 6 HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE: 7 Absolutely. That's fine. 8 BY MR. BRUMFIELD: 9 Where are you employed? 0 10 Α I work for myself. I'm a contractor. 11 Okay. Have you ever been an employee of 12 the Board of Private Security Examiners? 13 Α Negative. 14 Okay. Were you at one time an employee 0 15 of Delta Tactical? 16 Α Yes. 17 0 When? 18 October of 2017. Α 19 Until when? 0
  - 20 A October of 2017.
  - Q Do you know the specific date?
  - $^{22}$  A I want to say my first guard post was the
  - $^{23}$  20 -- I'm sorry, the 1st or 2nd of October, and I
  - left three weeks after.

PH: 225-201-9650

Q Where did -- when did -- where did you

- stand your first post?
- 2 A My first post was at Lewis and Company in
- <sup>3</sup> Mall City.
- 4 O That would be LEWCO?
- 5 A LEWCO, correct.
- 6 Q Okay. Did you ever receive a check from
- 7 Delta Tactical for work performed for Delta
- 8 Tactical?
- 9 A No, sir.
- Q Not one check at any time?
- 11 A Not one penny, correct.
- Q Okay. Were you a party to a conversation
- 13 with Dalton Miller?
- 14 A Several conversations.
- 15 Q A telephone conversation?
- A Yes, sir.
- 17 Q Do you recall a conversation you had with
- 18 Mr. Miller on November the 17th of 2017 -- I mean,
- 19 yes, November 17th of 2017?
- 20 A I'm not a hundred percent certain of the
- 21 date, but I had a conversation approximately at
- 22 that time with him.

- Q Okay. I'm going to play the tape for
- $^{24}$  Mr. Miller for Colt to identify his voice and lay
- $^{25}$  the foundation for the audio.

1	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
2	And you're going to be using using it
3	for what purpose? Because I believe I
4	think the issue that Mr. Crouch had was was
5	in regards to the fact that it contained
6	double hearsay.
7	MR. BRUMFIELD:
8	I understand.
9	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
10	Which I believe that it does.
11	MR. CROUCH:
12	I have no objection to a proffer of it
13	for the purposes asked for.
14	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
15	So once again
16	MR. BRUMFIELD:
17	Yes.
18	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
19	we'll be in proffer mode, and you can
20	play it.
21	MR. BRUMFIELD:
22	All right. Thank you.
23	BY MR. BRUMFIELD:
24	Q Colt, I'm going to play an audiotape and
25	I want to ask you if you could identify any of the

- 1 voices?
- 2 (WHEREUPON, AUDIO RECORDING WAS PLAYED)
- 3 BY MR. BRUMFIELD:
- 4 O Colt, do you recognize the voices on that
- <sup>5</sup> recording?
- 6 A Yes, and I recollect the conversation in
- <sup>7</sup> entirety.
- 8 Q Okay. And I think your testimony was you
- 9 were not sure of the date --
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q -- of that? You wouldn't be in a
- position to disagree if it's determined that that
- audio was taken on November the 17th of 2017; would
- <sup>14</sup> you?
- 15 A That's correct.
- Q You would not be in a position to refute
- 17 that?
- A I would not be in a position to disagree.
- Q Okay. There's a photograph in front of
- <sup>20</sup> you.

- 21 A Uh-huh.
- Q Do you recognize that photograph?
- 23 A I do.
- Q Who is that a photograph of?
- $^{25}$  A That is me.

1	Q Do you recall when you took that
2	photograph?
3	A I do not recall the date. It is a
4	Snapchat photo if I recollect correctly.
5	Q Okay. Did you send that to Dalton
6	Miller?
7	A I did indeed.
8	Q There is some caption down at the bottom.
9	A Uh-huh.
10	Q Did you write that on that photograph?
11	A I did indeed.
12	Q Okay. And it says that you're going to
13	give him a chance not to go down with Nick Fetty?
14	A Uh-huh.
15	Q What do you mean when you say, go down
16	with Nick Fetty?
17	A Very broad statement, really speculation
18	more than anything and just an attempt to get any
19	information from Dalton that I can.
20	Q Okay. You invite him to come meet you at
21	the Board on Monday?
22	A Correct.
23	Q What was the purpose of that meeting
24	Monday?
25	A I was merely going to bring him in and

- 1 try to assist Mr. Fabian and the Board as well at
- 2 getting any information that they can regarding any
- 3 actions -- actions or working after the licensure
- 4 of or license to Cease and Desist in the expiration
- of the TRO to the Board, any of that information.
- 6 O So you were requesting of Dalton Miller
- <sup>7</sup> to come speak with Mr. Blache?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q Was that at Mr. Blache's request?
- 10 A Negative.
- 0 Okay. What made you think that
- 12 Mr. Blache would be interested in talking to
- 13 Mr. Miller?

- $^{14}$  A Well, the Board or the Private Board --
- or the Board of Private Security Examiners, excuse
- me, specifically states that if you are an employee
- or if you know anything of any illegal or
- 18 rule-breaking actions by your employer or another
- 19 security company that you have a responsibility to
- 20 report those actions to the Board, which in case
- that direct contact would be Mr. Fabian. So at
- that point, I would attempt to set up a meeting
- where any actions that I felt were not following
- the rules or the laws of the Board of Private
- 25 Security Examiners, I would try to bring Mr. Dalton

- in to give that information to Mr. Fabian.
- Q Were you privy to any knowledge or any
- information that would be relevant to that issue?
- 4 A Which issue exactly are you speaking of?
- Of any violations of the law by Mr. Fetty
- or Dalton Miller that -- you said that you're
- obligated to report it to the Board.
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q Did you have knowledge at that point of
- any violations of the law by either Mr. Fetty or
- 11 Dalton Miller?
- 12 A I knew that the TRO had expired two days
- prior to the last two days I worked to LEWCO post.
- 14 With the TRO in expiration and the Cease and Desist
- $^{15}$  issued by Mr. Fabian Blache, at that point, I was
- illegally standing a post, which led me to believe
- $^{17}$  that potentially Delta Tactical could still be
- 18 standing post illegally against the law and against
- the rules of the Board.
- Q But you were not -- you were not aware of
- 21 any specific violations at that time; correct?
- 22 A No.
- 23 O Did you accompany Mr. Blache to LEWCO to
- issue a subpoena to LEWCO for time cards?
- 25 A We ran into one another there. He went

- 1 to issue a subpoena. At that point, I was giving
- 2 Mr. LEWCO (sic) his key. I had arrived previously
- 3 to Mr. Blache.
- 4 And at that point, I was expressing my
- 5 concern about not being paid to Mr. Lewis as well
- 6 as turning in a report that I had written that
- 7 assisted BRPD in a burglary arrest on his property.
- 8 0 Okay. Did you give Mr. Dill a copy of
- 9 that report at that time?
- 10 Α I did indeed.
- 11 Okay. In that audiotape --0
- 12 Uh-huh. Α
- 13 -- it references a meeting of the Board
- 14 in December.
- 15 Α Correct.
- 16 Were you aware of that pending meeting? 0
- 17 It's public knowledge. Α
- 18 Okay. How did you get that meeting --0
- 19 how did you get that date, that notice of that
- 20 date?

- 21 I had asked Mr. Fabian if there was
- 22 another meeting coming up or a Board meeting. With
- 23 it being public knowledge, he had no reason not to
- 24 disclose that information to me.
- 25 0 When did you ask that question?

1	A I don't have a date specifically or a
2	time.
3	Q So it was before the November 17th
4	telephone conversation?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Okay.
7	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
8	And for housekeeping purposes, you're
9	wanting to offer, file, and introduce this
10	photograph you've marked as Exhibit B?
11	MR. BRUMFIELD:
12	Again?
13	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
14	No, I just want to make sure we've
15	I've accepted it properly because
16	MR. BRUMFIELD:
17	Oh, it's subject to an objection.
18	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
19	So, Mr. Crouch, you had initially
20	MR. CROUCH:
21	These are marked for identification only
22	right now; right?
23	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
24	I'm sorry?
25	MR. CROUCH:

1	They're marked for identification only
2	right now; correct?
3	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
4	As of right now, they are marked for
5	identification only.
6	MR. CROUCH:
7	Okay. Yeah, I got it.
8	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
9	And I was wondering
10	MR. BRUMFIELD:
11	We're talking about B?
12	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
13	B. Did you have any further objection?
14	MR. CROUCH:
15	Oh, no, I have no further objection.
16	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
17	Okay. We're going to
18	MR. CROUCH:
19	Okay.
20	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
21	We're going to go ahead and accept that
22	into the record.
23	MR. CROUCH:
24	That would explain that.
25	

- 1 BY MR. BRUMFIELD:
- Q Colt, I gave you some photographs.
- 3 A Correct, Snapchat photos.
- 4 Q Correct. Let me ask you to review those?
- 5 A Done.
- 6 Q And do you recognize those photographs?
- A I do indeed. They were posted to my
- 8 Snapchat.
- 9 Okay. And who is -- who appears in those
- 10 photographs?

- 11 A That would be Mr. Fabian Blache, III,
- 12 Director of the Private Board -- or the Board of
- 13 Private Security Examiners.
- 0 Okay. Do you recall when those
- photographs were taken?
- 16 A I don't have exact dates, but I do recall
- the photos and what we were -- where we were and
- what we were doing in each one.
- Okay. Well, explain the number one to
- 20 me, if you don't mind? The first one --
- 21 A I will explain it.
- Q -- where were you at?
- 23 A So upon introduction to Mr. Blache, III
- and talking to Mr. Blache, III and working with him
- <sup>25</sup> regarding the Delta situation and investigation, I

- 1 took an interest in becoming a contracted
- 2 inspector.
- 3 Basically, the Board of the State has a
- 4 position where a person could come on and do
- 5 inspections for the Board under a contract
- 6 position. At this point, before jumping into the
- 7 water, if you will, upon other considerations of
- 8 starting my own security company as well,
- 9 Mr. Blache offered the opportunity to go out and
- 10 understand and fully learn what it is the
- 11 Private -- or the Board of Security Examiners does
- during the inspection process. 12
- 13 At this point, we were getting ready to
- 14 go to a few spots here in Baton Rouge where some
- 15 known security companies were operating, and he was
- going to do some inspections with me tagging along 16
- 17 and understanding how the process worked.
- 18 0 Okay. Photograph No. 1, where was that
- 19 photograph taken?
- 20 Α That photograph, I think that's at my
- 21 house.

- 22 0 How about No. 2 or the second
- 23 photograph in that stack?
- 24 Α That is at a bar in Lafayette.
- 25 Q Okay. How about No. 3 or the third one

- 1 in that?
- 2 Α Just outside that bar in Lafayette -- no,
- 3 I apologize. That's Texas Club.
- 4 That's inside Texas Club?
- 5 Α That is inside Texas Club.
- б 0 Okay.
- 7 Α At that point, learning -- upon my
- 8 interest of learning more about what the Board of
- 9 Private Security Examiners does and what the
- 10 differences between my prior experience as a
- 11 security professional in the bar and nightclub
- 12 industry and what the -- what a security
- 13 professional does in a different capacity, I took
- 14 Mr. Fabian Blache to the Texas Club to not only
- 15 show him around, introduce him to some of the
- 16 bouncers there that I used to be head of security
- 17 of, but also explain to him how the ATC process
- 18 works in the licensing and training for
- 19 individuals, as well as him explaining to me how
- 20 his process or how the Board's process differs.
- 21 Okay. Your previous testimony was that
- 22 you had applied for licensure by the Board as a
- 23 security company; correct?

- 24 That's not correct -- well, it is correct Α
- 25 that I said that, but it is not correct in factual

- information that I was considering applying. I
- only said that to get information from Mr. Dalton
- if that was -- if he had any information.
- 4 O So the statements that you had applied
- for the Board and that you had been approved and
- that you're just waiting to get insurance, that was
- 7 not correct?
- 8 A That is not a factual statement, no, sir.
- 9 O Your testimony earlier was that you're
- self-employed; correct?
- 11 A I am self-employed.
- 12 Q What kind of work do you do?
- 13 A I am a -- I have a remodeling license
- through the State of Louisiana. I do residential
- and commercial remodeling.
- 0 What else in that audio that we listened
- 17 to is not true?

- 18 A Most of what I said is not true in that
- 19 audio. It was purely to get any information from
- Dalton regarding any activities by Delta or Nick
- that may not be in line with the laws or the rules
- of the Board of Private Security Examiners.
- O But you were aware at the time of that
- <sup>24</sup> audio that there was a Board scheduled to be held
- to hear Mr. Fetty's revocation; correct?

1	A I knew that there was a Board meeting at
2	some point in December.
3	Q Okay. And you got that information from
4	Mr. Blache?
5	A I did indeed.
6	MR. BRUMFIELD:
7	Okay. In conjunction with the witness's
8	testimony, I'd like to offer, file, and
9	introduce into evidence the photographs that
10	Mr that Colt had identified and mark those
11	as Delta Tactical
12	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
13	I think we're on C.
14	MR. BRUMFIELD:
15	C is it C or D?
16	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
17	С.
18	MR. BRUMFIELD:
19	C In Globo.
20	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
21	One through five? Is it five photos?
22	MR. BRUMFIELD:
23	I think it's six.
24	MR. RIVERS:
25	No, it's six.

1	CHAIRPERSON PIERRE:
2	Yeah, it is six.
3	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
4	It's six. Sorry.
5	MR. BRUMFIELD:
6	C In Globo.
7	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
8	Any objection?
9	MR. CROUCH:
10	I do indeed. I object to the
11	admissibility on the basis of relevance. The
12	point of this hearing is whether or not this
13	Board, the final decision-maker, has been
14	prejudiced. I do not see the relevance of any
15	of this stuff with regard to the Board.
16	With that having been said, I would not
17	object to his proffer of those for the record.
18	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
19	I'm going to go ahead and rule I'm
20	going to go ahead and overrule your objection.
21	And they can be admitted into evidence.
22	BY MR. BRUMFIELD:
23	Q Okay. Based on your testimony and the
24	photographs that we've introduced into evidence,
25	you had quite a bit of contact with Mr. Blache;

- 1 correct?
- 2 A Yes, regarding my interest in either
- 3 starting a security company of my own or becoming a
- 4 contract inspector.
- Okay. When was the first time that you
- 6 met Mr. Blache?
- 7 A I don't remember the exact date, but it
- 8 would be the -- contact or met would be -- I -- the
- 9 first time I contacted, had contact with him via
- the telephone or met with him?
- 0 Well, let's do both.
- 12 A First time I had contact with Mr. Blache
- was when I called him regarding not being paid by
- 14 Delta Tactical.
- 15 O Okay.
- A And I believe I met with him later in
- that week a couple of days later. And that is
- where he told me to go ahead and give Mr. Lewis
- back his key for his property that I had possession
- of.

- Q Okay. And that was before the telephone
- 22 conversation?
- $^{23}$  A Yes.
- O Because I think in the telephone
- 25 conversation that you said that you had given the

- 1 key back to LEWCO?
- 2 A That's correct.
- O At Mr. Blache's instruction?
- 4 A Correct.
- Okay. Were these photographs taken after
- 6 the November 20th meeting at LEWCO or do you know?
- 7 A These photographs? Yes.
- 8 Q Yes.
- <sup>9</sup> A All of these were.
- MR. BRUMFIELD:
- Okay. I have no further questions.
- 12 CROSS EXAMINATION OF COLT MILLER
- 13 BY MR. CROUCH:
- 0 Can I call you Colt?
- A Yes, sir, please do.
- Q Colt, who initiated the phone call to
- 17 Miller?
- 18 A To Dalton?
- 19 Q To Dalton, yeah.
- 20 A Dalton called me after I sent him a text
- 21 message letting him know that I had turned the
- Lewis and Company key back in.
- Q I got it. Did the -- the text message
- 24 said what?

PH: 225-201-9650

A I don't recollect 100 percent.

- 1 Q Okay. But Dalton called you?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q Correct?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- Do you have any idea why he would have
- 6 initiated that call to you?
- 7 A I think he wanted to get more clarity or
- 8 try to persuade any information that he could out
- of me, assuming that I had a close relationship or
- was privy to some sort of information from the
- 11 Board.
- 12 Q When you --
- A But that's speculation. That's my
- <sup>14</sup> belief.

- 15 Q I understand. During your employment
- with Delta Tactical, were you ever registered as
- 17 required by law?
- 18 A I was apparently not registered or
- trained as required by law.
- Q Did -- why did you leave Delta Tactical?
- 21 A I had not received a paycheck and I began
- to have growing concerns, not only with how the
- things were being managed as far as personnel, but
- <sup>24</sup> I had growing concerns as far as the expiration of
- the TRO. I wasn't getting a clear answer on what

- the status was of that, as well as understanding
- that there was a Cease and Desist issued by
- 3 Mr. Fabian at that point or prior to that point.
- 4 Q You had growing concerns, you said;
- 5 correct?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q About what?
- 8 A About the validity of the TRO or the date
- 9 of the validity of the TRO. I know that it was
- told to me specifically, and I quote, proceed with
- business as usual, via text message from Nick when
- 12 I inquired about what the status of that TRO was.
- 13 And my experience of -- as a military policeman, my
- spidey senses, if you will, kind of started to
- 15 tingle.
- MR. CROUCH:
- I have no further questions.
- 18 A Understood.
- 19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF COLT MILLER
- 20 BY MR. BRUMFIELD:
- O For clarification --
- 22 A Uh-huh.
- Q -- you said that you got -- that you sent
- 24 Dalton a text?

PH: 225-201-9650

25 A Correct.

- 1 And in response to his text, he called 0 2 you? 3 Correct. Α 4 So even though he made the call, you 5 initiated the conversation with the text; correct? 6 Α Correct. 7 0 Okay. You said that you were aware of a 8 Cease and Desist Order? 9 Prior to the TRO. Α 10 0 Okay. Who told you about a Cease and 11 Desist Order? 12 Nick Fetty did. Α 13 Okay. 0 14 Α He was waiting on it in the mail. 15 0 When did he tell you about it? 16 Α I don't recollect a date exactly. 17 0 All right. And you were aware that there 18 was an injunction issued on that Cease and Desist 19 Order; correct? 20 The TRO is what you're referring to? Α 21 Yes. 0 22 Α I was aware that Judge Caldwell had
  - Q Okay. You made a statement about a text
  - <sup>25</sup> from Nick saying, continue --

issued a TRO.

23

1	A It was business as usual, quote, unquote.
2	Q When did you receive that text?
3	A I don't recollect the date exactly, but
4	it was upon my inquiring about the status of the
5	licensing and TRO.
6	Q What was your knowledge of the TRO?
7	A That it was close to expiration or had
8	recently expired and that they had another court
9	date scheduled. I don't know the dates exactly.
10	At that point, my concern was, well, is
11	this TRO expired? Have I did knew I did know
12	that it was based on Mr. Fetty's apprehension by
13	law enforcement that there was a continuance issued
14	for that court date. So there was a window of
15	concern that I had, whether or not that TRO was
16	still valid up to the point of that next court
17	date.
18	MR. CROUCH:
19	A little late, Your Honor, but I'm going
20	to object.
21	This is redirect and the redirect is
22	supposed to only illicit what might have come
23	out on the cross examination. And I think
24	this is a continuation of his direct
25	examination, for the record.

1	
1	MR. BRUMFIELD:
2	The cross examine was the text that Colt
3	responded to a question of Mr. Crouch
4	regarding the TRO.
5	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
6	I'm going to overrule the objection.
7	BY MR. BRUMFIELD:
8	Q You mentioned the apprehension of
9	Mr. Fetty?
10	A Correct, at the Texas Club.
11	Q Do you recall when that was?
12	A I do not recall the date that it was
13	specifically, but I do remember getting a text
14	message that evening while he and his wife were
15	attending a concert, that he had been apprehended
16	from the owners of the Texas Club.
17	Q You had mentioned you had some concerns
18	about the TRO?
19	A Correct.
20	Q What was your knowledge of the TRO?
21	A My knowledge of the TRO was simply that
22	it had been issued after the Cease and Desist by
23	Judge Caldwell that Nick was pursuing some matters
24	regarding Mr. Fabian's involvement in the Cease and
25	Desist and whether or not he had the authority to

1 issue it. 2 And so he had gotten that initial TRO. 3 And like I said, at that point, towards the end of 4 that TRO, there was kind of a gray area of when 5 that next court date was going to be due to the 6 continuance. 7 MR. CROUCH: 8 Your Honor, I'm going to strenuously 9 I don't recall mentioning any of this object. 10 in cross examination. 11 HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE: 12 Did you bring up the TRO in cross? 13 MR. CROUCH: 14 I did not. 15 MR. BRUMFIELD: 16 He brought up the text. 17 MR. CROUCH: 18 Just the text, which --19 HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE: 20 Did you bring up the TRO in your direct 21 examination? 22 MR. BRUMFIELD: 23 No, but the text was based on his 24 concerns about the TRO. 25 My text to Nick Fetty was based on the Α

1 concerns about the TRO. 2 MR. BRUMFIELD: 3 And Mr. Crouch brought up the text 4 that -- from Nick. HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE: 6 I'm going to go ahead and allow it. I'm 7 also going to caution you. I know we had 8 talked about this earlier that we were going up against a 30-minute line. I know you had 10 said, you said you would --11 MR. BRUMFIELD: 12 Yes. 13 HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE: 14 -- attempt to --15 MR. BRUMFIELD: 16 Yes. 17 HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE: 18 -- stick to that time limit. 19 BY MR. BRUMFIELD: 20 Were you aware that the TRO was extended 21 to November the 8th? 22 Α I was not aware of any specific dates on 23 the TRO or its extensions or any of the matter. 24 Like I said, it was merely a caution by me 25 personally, as I quoted, my spidey senses started

1 to tingle. I started to grow concern about the 2 expiration dates of the TRO, knowing that the court 3 date had been -- or the court had been continued to 4 a later date. 5 0 But you had no firm knowledge of when the 6 TRO was going to expire? 7 Α Correct. 8 MR. BRUMFIELD: 9 Okay. I have nothing further. 10 HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE: 11 Okay. 12 MR. CROUCH: 13 No further. 14 MR. BRUMFIELD: 15 I have no further witnesses. 16 HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE: 17 Do you -- you rest at this point? 18 MR. BRUMFIELD: 19 I rest. 20 HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE: 21 Mr. Crouch? 22 MR. CROUCH: 23 I would call Mr. Fabian Blache to the 24 stand, please. 25 HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:

PH: 225-201-9650

1	Sir, you may step down.
2	COLT MILLER:
3	Do I seat or do I go back to the
4	conference room?
5	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
6	I don't think he plans on recalling you,
7	so you can step you can
8	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
9	Do you plan on recalling him to the
10	witness stand or are you finished with him?
11	MR. BRUMFIELD:
12	Who? Colt?
13	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
14	Colt. Colt.
15	MR. BRUMFIELD:
16	Depends on testimony that Mr. Blache
17	gives.
18	CHAIRPERSON PIERRE:
19	We're trying to determine whether or not
20	he should stay in the room or does he have to
21	leave?
22	MR. BRUMFIELD:
23	Sequestration may may apply.
24	CHAIRPERSON PIERRE:
25	Sir, do you mind leaving?

1	COLT MILLER:
2	Okay.
3	MR. BLACHE:
4	I do remember that I'm under oath just
5	for the record.
6	CHAIRPERSON PIERRE:
7	Thank you.
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF FABIAN P. BLACHE, III
9	BY MR. CROUCH:
10	Q Mr. Blache, state your name and business
11	address for the record, please?
12	A Fabian Peter Blache, the Third. Business
13	address is 15703 Old Hammond Highway, Baton Rouge,
14	Louisiana 70816.
15	Q How are you employed?
16	A I'm employed here as the Executive
17	Director and Chief Administrative Officer of the
18	State Board of Private Security Examiners.
19	Q How long have you been so employed?
20	A Since March 23rd of 2016.
21	Q Briefly, very briefly state for the
22	record what you perceive your duties to be.
23	A My duties, as outlined in Title 37 are to
24	run the day to day administrative operations and
25	procedures of the Board, which include the issuing

- of licenses, the determination of qualifications,
- training qualifications, the issuing of
- 3 suspensions, revocation notices, and Cease and
- 4 Desist notices in part.
- 5 Q As part of those duties, would you be
- 6 involved in the gathering of facts and doing
- <sup>7</sup> investigations as to activities of licensed
- 8 companies?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. When you gather sufficient facts,
- in your opinion, that justifies some sort of action
- 12 against a company, who is the final decision maker
- as to whatever action you take?
- 14 A Ultimately, the final decision maker is
- the Board. The actions that I take take the
- process up to a certain point administratively, and
- then the Board always has the final say on whether
- or not to uphold any of those actions taken.
- 19 Q Particularly, the revocation of a
- license, companies and individuals are entitled to
- 21 appeal; is that correct?
- 22 A Yes, sir.

- Q And that appeal would be to who?
- $^{24}$  A That appeal would be to the Board.
- 25 Q To the Board. Okay. Have you ever had

- any ex parte communication with the Board as a
- whole or individual members of the Board as to the
- factual basis of the issue before the Board before
- 4 us today involving the recusal of the Board, the
- <sup>5</sup> Fetty matter?
- A No, not as to the factual basis. That is
- <sup>7</sup> correct.
- 8 O All right. You are not the final
- 9 decision maker; am I correct?
- 10 A Correct.
- MR. CROUCH:
- I have no further questions.
- 13 CROSS EXAMINATION OF FABIAN P. BLACHE, II
- 14 BY MR. BRUMFIELD:
- 0 Mr. Blache?
- 16 A Uh-huh.
- 17 Q You are aware that Mr. Fetty -- well, you
- issued Mr. Fetty a revocation notice; correct?
- 19 A Yes, sir.
- 0 When was that notice sent?
- 21 A That was October 16th.
- Q Okay. And the revocation was predicated
- on action taken by you --
- $^{24}$  A By me.

PH: 225-201-9650

Q -- or was -- or action taken by the

- 1 Board?
- $^{2}$  A By me.
- Q Okay.
- <sup>4</sup> A As established in the hearing on
- 5 November 15th in District Court.
- 6 Q Okay. You said that you received a
- 7 request from me for a hearing on Mr. Fetty's
- 8 behalf; correct?
- 9 A I'm referencing a hearing that we had in
- 10 District Court where the authority for me to take
- that action was established and --
- 12 Q No, that's not my question.
- 13 A Okay.
- 0 My question is, you requested -- you
- 15 received a request from me of a request for a
- 16 hearing with the Board --
- $^{17}$  A At some --
- Q -- on your action; correct?
- 19 A I received a couple of requests from you
- <sup>20</sup> for hearings.

- 21 O You received one on October the 31st,
- 22 2017; is that correct?
- 23 A That sounds reasonable.
- Q Okay. And you scheduled a meeting or a
- hearing before the Board after my request of

- 1 October 31st; correct?
- 2 A No, I -- the Board has four meetings a
- year that are prescheduled, and then we simply add
- 4 things to those meetings as we deem necessary.
- Okay. You added an item on the agenda
- for December the 14th regarding the revocation of
- <sup>7</sup> the license issued by the Board to Delta Tactical,
- 8 IJC; is that correct?
- 9 A I believe that is correct. I would have
- to look at the agenda, but that sounds about right.
- 11 Q Okay. When did you send notice of that
- 12 Board meeting to Nick Fetty?
- MR. CROUCH:
- Object as to relevance. I'm not sure
- what this has to do with the recusal of the
- Board.
- MR. BRUMFIELD:
- The relevance is conversation on the
- audiotape, Mr. Colt Miller referenced a
- meeting of the Board in December.
- 21 HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
- Okay. I'll allow it.
- 23 BY MR. BRUMFIELD:
- O Isn't it true that on November the 22nd,
- 25 2017, you issued a notice to Nicholas Fetty that

- the matter of his revocation would be taken up as
- part of the agenda for the December 14th, 2017
- 3 Quarterly Board Meeting?
- 4 A Let's see, I think I have a copy of that.
- 5 Q Let me show you.
- 6 A All right. That is correct.
- 7 Q I presented you with a document. Do you
- 8 recognize that document?
- 9 A Yes. This is a letter indicating that
- there would be a hearing on December 14th and that
- we were including Delta Tactical in that meeting.
- 12 Q It's a three-page document, is it not?
- 13 A It is.
- O On Page 3 down at the bottom, do you
- 15 recognize that as being your signature?
- 16 A It is.
- Q When did you place this item on the
- 18 agenda; do you know?
- 19 A It would have been more than likely added
- to the draft agenda around the time of the issuance
- of this letter more than likely.
- 22 Q You heard audiotape and Mr. Colt Miller's
- testimony; did you not?
- $^{24}$  A T did.
- Q You heard him reference the meeting in

- 1 December where the revocation was going to take
- place; correct?
- 3 A I heard that.
- 4 O And that audiotape, according to the
- testimony, was on the 17th of November, five days
- 6 before your notice was sent; correct?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q Okay. Did you tell Colt Miller of the
- 9 Board meeting on the 14th when Mr. Fetty's item was
- going to be taken up?
- 11 A Yeah. When Colt came and asked me about
- what was happening with the matter, because he was
- being told to continue to work and had concerns
- about doing so without a company license, I told
- him when the next scheduled Board meeting would be,
- and that would likely be when a hearing would be
- scheduled.
- 18 0 When did that conversation take place?
- 19 A I don't remember exactly.
- Q You heard him testify that he met you by
- 21 happenstance at LEWCO?
- 22 A Correct.
- 23 O Was it before that meeting at LEWCO or
- was it at the same time?
- 25 A I can't time approximate around the

1	meeting.
2	Q Okay. But you did inform Colt Miller
3	that the matter of Nick Fetty was going to be taken
4	up by the Board prior to your issuance of the
5	notice?
6	A I told him that the next opportunity for
7	the matter to be taken up by the Board would be at
8	the next scheduled meeting, which was already
9	prescheduled in the September meeting for December.
10	Q But it hadn't been added to the agenda;
11	correct?
12	A I don't recall.
13	Q Okay. Did you review oh, I'm sorry.
14	MR. BRUMFIELD:
15	In conjunction with the witness's
16	testimony, I'd like to offer, file, and
17	introduce into evidence the November 22nd,
18	2017 letter from the Board addressed to
19	Nicholas Fetty. And I'm going to mark that as
20	Delta Tactical #D.
21	MR. CROUCH:
22	I object as to relevance, your Honor.
23	But other than that, it's a public record.
24	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
25	I'm going to go ahead and overrule the

- objection and admit it into evidence.
- 2 BY MR. BRUMFIELD:
- Q Did you get a chance to review Delta
- 4 Tactical Exhibit C, the photographs?
- 5 A Yeah. Yes, I did.
- Q And you recognize that the images in
- 7 those photographs are you; correct?
- 8 A Correct.

- 9 O Do you recall the circumstances where
- these photographs were taken?
- 11 A Yes. As Mr. Miller testified, two of
- them appear to be at the Texas Club where he took
- me to speak with the owners there. He was the
- 14 Security Director there. We were working through
- the fact that I had recently met with the ATC
- 16 Commission about concerns we have with the
- confusion between the license that they issued
- that's called a Security License, but it's a bar
- 19 card related license versus a State Board of
- 20 Private Security Examiners license.
- 21 Because of his experience working
- security in a bar environment and his knowledge of
- those ATC laws, he reciprocated my showing him how
- our side of the operation works in his pursuit of
- him possibly being a contract inspector for us with

- 1 helping me understand how that side of it works,
- because there were some violations identified at a
- 3 couple of locations that he was privy to where
- 4 people holding the ATC card were actually armed
- with weapons and presenting themselves to the
- 6 industry as if they were private contract security
- 7 people.
- 8 Q Okay. You heard Colt's testimony that he
- 9 accompanied you on doing some inspections; correct?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q Was that in anticipation of you putting
- 12 him to work as an inspector?
- 13 A It was to make sure that he understood
- exactly what it was that we do and how it should be
- done so that he would understand what he would be
- asking to get involved in and have a clear
- understanding of what that entailed.
- 18 O Did you offer him a job as an inspector?
- 19 A I did not.
- Q Was there any reason why you did not?
- $^{21}$  A At the -- at one point in time when I
- 22 asked him had he -- did he still have an interest
- in trying to gage his interest in doing this, he
- said that he didn't presently have the time because
- his contracting business had picked up. It's very

- seasonable. And so he was focused on handling the
- jobs on his contracting business side and that he
- would revisit the issue with me later down the
- 4 road.
- Okay. You issued him a subpoena for his
- 6 appearance today?
- 7 A I issued the subpoena that you issued --
- 8 Q Yes.
- <sup>9</sup> A -- on your behalf.
- 10 O Yes.
- $^{11}$  A Yes.
- 12 Q Did you have any conversation with Colt
- Miller after the issuance of that subpoena for his
- 14 appearance today and today's testimony --
- 15 A No.
- Q -- or today's hearing?
- 17 A No.
- MR. BRUMFIELD:
- 19 I have no further questions.
- 20 HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
- Mr. Crouch?
- MR. CROUCH:
- I have no further questions.
- 24 HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
- 25 Any other witnesses to be called,

1	Mr. Crouch?
2	MR. CROUCH:
3	I do not.
4	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
5	Any closing arguments?
6	MR. BRUMFIELD:
7	Nothing in rebuttal.
8	MR. CROUCH:
9	No.
10	MR. BRUMFIELD:
11	Well, first of all, I'd like to thank the
12	Board for its time today. I know I tried to
13	stay within the 20 or 30 minutes and I may
14	have gone over a little bit sometimes.
15	CHAIRPERSON PIERRE:
16	Thank you. We appreciate that.
17	MR. BRUMFIELD:
18	And I apologize to the Board for that.
19	But I think the Board can recognize from the
20	testimony presented today that there's been
21	significant contact or there was significant
22	contact between Colt Miller and Mr. Blache
23	that would at least give the appearance of
24	impropriety for the pending revocation hearing
25	in this matter.

1	I think, although the substance of the
2	testimony was subject to an objection, I think
3	the Board can, nonetheless, take that into
4	consideration that Mr. Miller, at least
5	Mr. Miller made the representations that
6	Mr. Blache had told him that the matter was
7	already decided.
8	It's abundantly clear that Mr. Miller
9	knew of the revocation hearing pending before
10	the Board prior to the notice being sent out.
11	The only way he could have got that
12	information, and his testimony was that he got
13	it from Mr. Blache prior to that November 17th
14	testimony.
15	So it was abundantly clear that the
16	opportunity for correspondence and
17	communication between Colt Miller and
18	Mr. Blache was there. I think that in and of
19	itself presents the appearance of impropriety.
20	And I think this Board should recuse itself
21	from hearing that revocation matter.
22	But, again, I'd like to thank the Board
23	for its attention to this matter today.
24	MR. CROUCH:
25	Madam Chairman and Board Members, I need

to put a very fine point on what the issue is before you today. Recusal of a judge or any deciding body is determined in the Code of Civil Procedure by Article 151B. And in the case of Usey versus Usey, First Circuit said, quoting, a judge may be recused when he, quote, is biased, prejudiced, or interested in the cause of its outcome or biased, prejudice, toward or against the parties or the parties' attorney to such an extent that he would be unable to conduct a fair and impartial hearing.

Going beyond that, in the Slaughter versus Southern Board of Supervisors, First Circuit again said that the statute governing recusal of judges requires a finding of actual bias or prejudice, which must be of a substantial nature and biased on more than mere conclusory allegations.

And, finally, in Riddle versus the

Premier Plaza of Monroe, grounds for recusing
enumerated in the governing Articles are
exclusive and do not include a substantial
appearance of the possibility of bias or even
a mere appearance of impropriety as causes for

1 removing a judge for presiding over a given 2 matter. 3 In his pleadings, Mr. Brumfield alluded 4 to Title 49, Section 960, which deals with 5 administrative hearings and administrative 6 deciders. And Paragraph A deals with, in my 7 opinion, deals with the Board. Paragraph B 8 would deal with subordinate employees. 9 But in Paragraph B in both paragraphs, it 10 has to be the decider, the person with the 11 final responsibility to decide a given matter. 12 And under no circumstances is your Executive 13 Director the final decider of any suspension 14 action or any disciplinary action taken on 15 your behalf. That deciding, that power is 16 reserved to you. 17 And I submit to you that there's been no 18 evidence today at all where there has been any 19 contact with you guys that would prejudice you 20 to be able to have a fair hearing. 21 haven't seen or heard it. All of this has 22 been directed at Mr. Blache. 23 Well, let me say this, even if all of 24 this is true, even if Mr. Blache is prejudice, 25 so what. So what. He's not the decider.

1	takes an action.
2	You guys sit after a hearing and go no,
3	no, you can't do that or, yes, you can do
4	that, but he's not the decider. And whether
5	he has, I don't think he does, I don't think
6	that case has even been made, that he is
7	prejudiced against Mr. Fetty or Delta Tactical
8	in any way.
9	But he is not the decider, and therefore,
10	I don't think there's been any evidence
11	introduced that would give you pause to recuse
12	yourself from a fair and impartial hearing.
13	Thank you.
14	MR. BRUMFIELD:
15	Nothing in rebuttal.
16	HEARING MASTER ST. PIERRE:
17	Okay.
18	MR. CROUCH:
19	Madam Chairmen, I think this will require
20	a motion
21	CHAIRPERSON PIERRE:
22	Yeah.
23	MR. CROUCH:
24	and a vote.
25	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:

1	Absolutely. So thank you for coming here
2	today, but I just want to tell you and
3	Mr. Fetty, I agree with our attorney, Ron,
4	that we have no reason to be prejudiced
5	against Mr. Fetty or anyone else. I don't
6	think anybody on the Board knows Mr. Fetty
7	or I think at this time, hearing what you
8	said, listening to the facts, we can make a
9	determination on the facts.
10	And this Board would be allowed to vote
11	on whether or not they agree with recusing
12	themselves. So if that be said, I'm going to
13	ask that you do a call vote and we vote on the
14	Motion to Recuse ourselves, whether or not we
15	agree to recuse ourselves.
16	Do I have a second?
17	MR. SANDERS:
18	I'll second that motion.
19	MS. HULL:
20	Durell Pellegrin?
21	MR. PELLEGRIN:
22	Not to recuse.
23	MS. HULL:
24	Mark Williams?
25	MR. WILLIAMS:

1	Not to recuse.
2	MS. HULL:
3	Marian Pierre?
4	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
5	Not to recuse.
6	MS. HULL:
7	Maria Landry?
8	MS. LANDRY:
9	Not to recuse.
10	MS. HULL:
11	Ritchie Rivers?
12	MR. RIVERS:
13	Not to recuse.
14	MS. HULL:
15	Wilbert Sanders?
16	MR. SANDERS:
17	Not to recuse.
18	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
19	Okay. We have a unanimous vote not to
20	recuse ourselves. So at this time, our Board
21	will not recuse ourselves.
22	MR. BRUMFIELD:
23	Okay. I'm assuming that the Board's
24	decision will be in writing?
25	CHAIRPERSON PIERRE:

1	It can be.
2	MR. BRUMFIELD:
3	Okay. Please.
4	CHAIRPERSON PIERRE:
5	Mr. Blache?
б	MR. BLACHE:
7	Yes?
8	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
9	Would you have your staff put that in
10	writing or give him a copy of the proceeding
11	for today?
12	MR. BLACHE:
13	Yes, Madam Chair.
14	MR. CROUCH:
15	Your Honor, I believe as a matter of law,
16	when a judge or a deciding body refusing to
17	recuse itself, I think Mr. Brumfield has the
18	legal right to bring that up to a
19	CHAIRPERSON PIERRE:
20	Sure.
21	MR. CROUCH:
22	third party. So I I assume you're
23	going to
24	MR. BRUMFIELD:
25	Yes, it's my intent to

1	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
2	Okay.
3	MR. BRUMFIELD:
4	seek
5	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
6	Okay. But I think you were asking for a
7	written something in writing.
8	MR. BRUMFIELD:
9	Well, I'm going to have to attach that to
10	my
11	CHAIRPERSON PIERRE:
12	Sure.
13	MR. BRUMFIELD:
14	motion.
15	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
16	We'll be glad to provide that. Thank you
17	for coming today.
18	MR. BRUMFIELD:
19	Thank you very much.
20	CHAIRWOMAN PIERRE:
21	I appreciate it. At this time, unless
22	there's any other business, any other
23	questions, this meeting is now adjourned.
24	(WHEREUPON, THE MEETING ADJOURNED)
25	

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	I, KELLY S. PERRIN, a Certified Court
3	Reporter, Certificate #23035, in good standing with
4	the State of Louisiana, as the officer before whom
5	this meeting was taken, do hereby certify that the
б	foregoing 93 pages;
7	That this testimony was reported by me in
8	stenographic machine shorthand by Computer-Aided
9	Transcription, transcribed by me or under my
10	personal direction and supervision, and is a true
11	and correct transcript to the best of my ability
12	and understanding;
13	That the transcript has been prepared in
14	compliance with transcript format guidelines
15	required by statute or by rules of the board, that
16	I have acted in compliance with the prohibition on
17	contractual relationships, as defined by Louisiana
18	Code of Civil Procedure Article 1434 and in rules
19	and advisory opinions of the board; that I am not
20	of counsel nor related to any person participating
21	in this cause and am in no way interested in the
22	outcome of this event.
23	
24	
25	

1	This certification is valid only for a
2	transcript accompanied by my handwritten or digital
3	
4	signature and the image of my State-authorized seal
	on this page.
5	Signed:
6	
7	KELLY S. PERRIN, CCR
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
-	